

Payam Sheikhattari^{1, 2}, Mark Bolden¹, Patricia Cassatt³, Lelin Chao³, Darin Wall³, and Fernando A. Wagner^{1, 2}

I- Morgan State University Prevention Sciences Research Center, Baltimore, MD, USA 2- Morgan State University School of Community Health and Policy, Baltimore, MD, USA 3- Peoples' Community Health Centers, Baltimore, MD, USA



Introduction

- Cigarette smoking is still a major public health problem in the USA, especially among minority and low-income populations.
- Low income and socially-disadvantaged ethnic groups are still smoking at very high rates and are less likely to quit smoking (Stillman et al., 2003)
- Approximately 60% of young adults from inner-city neighborhoods in Baltimore, Maryland, smoke cigarettes (Stillman et al., 2007)

Objectives

To conduct a participatory community needs assessment of an under-served urban area to better understand why people use tobacco products

To learn about tobacco industry marketing strategies in poor urban areas and potential activities that may counteract these strategies

 To address some of the problems in partnership with the local community through participatory planning and implementation



Project Description and Activities

- Project design: participatory needs assessment and smoking cessation intervention with quantitative and qualitative methods
- Community Advisory Board (CAB) members (12 members) oversee the planning, development, implementation, and evaluation of the project

Project Description and Activities

CAB recruited through an application and nomination process with peer-review
CAB developed project identity as CEASE: "Communities Engaged and Advocating for a Smoke-free Environment"

• Three sub-committees formed by the CAB

- $^{\circ}$ Information Campaigns and Education (ICE)
- $^{\circ}$ Community Assets and Needs (CAN)
- Community Connection Committee (CCC)

The Community

- A low-income urban area in Mid-Atlantic
- Higher proportion of low-income. Blacks and Whites equally represented; more Blacks compared to the U.S. population



Project Description and Activities

- > Different questionnaires designed for target groups (e.g., as community residents, parents, and volunteers)
- Use of natural opportunities, such as Back-to-School Night; Mayor's Clean-Up Day, and Environmental Safety Association were identified and attended.
- A flyer was designed to introduce the CEASE initiative.



Population and Sample

- The Community Health Urban Project
 - A cross-sectional, face-to-face survey of the adult population (aged 18 and older) of two contiguous urban census tracts (n= 1,489).
- Intercept Surveys
- Data collected through community events (n= 200)
- Focus Group Discussion and Interviews
- $^\circ\,$ Qualitative research with patients and clinical staff (n= 30)
- $^\circ$ Baseline survey of the smoking cessation trial
 - A Randomized Controlled Trial comparing group vs. individual interventions (n= 270)

Measures

- Tobacco use was classified based on two yes/no questions:
 - "Have you ever smoked cigarettes regularly?" and "Do you smoke cigarettes now?"
- All persons were classified either as
 Current smokers (i.e., those who endorsed currently
 - smoking),
 - Former smokers (i.e., those who ever smoked but did not endorse current smoking),
- Persons who never smoked (i.e., those who never smoked and correspondingly did not endorse current smoking).

Measures

- Demographic variables
 Bace are gender marital status a
 - Race, age, gender, marital status, and educational attainment.
- Psychosocial variables
 - Perceived stress adapted from the Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen & Williamson, 1988)
 - · Social support (Broadhead et al., 1988)
 - Major Depressive Episode (MDE) adapted from the Patient Health Survey (PHQ9) (Kroenke, 2001)

Data Analysis

- > Descriptive analysis of the main variables of the needs assessment
- > Qualitative analysis of the interview and focus group discussions
- > Two separate logistic regression analyses with multiple covariates
- One for current smokers versus nonsmokers
- $_{\odot}$ One for former smokers versus current smokers

Results

- 55% of the participants were current smokers, 33% had never smoked, and 12% were former smokers
- Males had higher rates of smoking than females (62.3% vs. 49.0%)

Table 1: Comparing results from two in (n=113)	tere	ept	surv	veys
	George Washington Elementary 96 participants		Sharp Leadenhal St. Stephens 17 participants	
Using tobacco currently?	Yes 29	%30.21	Yes 3	%17.65
Have you ever smoked tobacco regularly?	Yes 33	%34.74	Yes II	%64.71
How many of your friends, relatives, and co-workers smoke?				
Just a few of them	Yes 39	%41.49	Yes 6	%35.29
Some of them	Yes 31	%32.98	Yes 6	%35.29
Most of them	Yes 16	%17.02	Yes 4	%23.53
None of them	Yes 8	%8.51	Yes I	%5.88
How interested would you be in smoking prevention programs in your neighborhood?				
I don't smoke	yes 19	%20.00	n/a	
Not interested at all	Yes 7	%7.37	Yes 2	%11.76
Somewhat interested	Yes 25	%26.32	Yes 3	%17.65
Very interested	Yes 44	%46.32	Yes 7	%41.18
Extremely Interested	n/a		Yes 2	%11.76
How effective do you feel that acupuncture can be to belo people quit smoking?			0/2	
Very effective	Yes 12	\$12.50	-	
Effective	Yes 17	\$17.71	1	
Slightly effective	Yes II	%11.46		
Not effective	Yes 6	%6.25	1	
If you tried to quit smoking in the last 12 months, what made it more difficult				
			Yes 2	
Withdrawal and craving were too bad	Yes 7	%26.92	%50	
Gained too much weight	Yes 4	%15.38		
I could not afford the treatment	Yes I	%3.85		
If you were planning to quit smoking, how would you do it?	_			
I would go "cold turkey" (just stop smoking at once)	Yes 9	%36.00	Yes 2	%5
If a program to quit smoking was made available at low or no-cost in this neighborhood, would yo try it?	Yes 21	%80.77	Yes 3	%60

P	TABLE 2 COMPARED 1 TO CURREN	- ESTI Fo ne NTLY :	MATED ASSOCIATIC EVER SMOKING:(N = SMOKING (N = 800) URBAN)n i = 1,((D, 1 PF	BETWE ()50), & ATA FR (OJECT	EN CU FORME OM THI)	RRENTLY SMOKING ER SMOKING COMP E COMMUNITY HEA	G ARI	ED H
	Characteristic	Current Smokers Versus Never Smokers				Former Smokers Versus Current Smokers			
		aO R	95% CI	95% CI P-value		aOR	95% CI		P-value
	Gender								
	Females	0.5	0.4,	0.7	< 0.001	0.5	0.4,	0.7	< 0.001
	Males	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		
	Race/ethnicity								
	African American	0.6	0.4,	0.8	0.001	0.6	0.4,	0.8	0.001
	White	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		
	Marital Status								
	Married	0.6	0.4,	0.9	0.005	0.6	0.4,	0.9	0.005
	Single/Divorced	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		
	Education					0.9	0.8,	0.9	< 0.001
	(range 3-17)	0.9	0.8,	0.9	< 0.001				
	Age								
	25-29 years	1.1	0.6,	1.8	0.771	1.1	0.6,	1.8	0.771
	30-39 years	1.6	1.1,	2.5	0.022	1.6	1.1,	2.5	0.022
	40-64 years	2.6	1.7,	3.8	< 0.001	2.6	1.7,	3.8	< 0.001
	65 and older	0.4	0.2,	0.9	0.019	0.4	0.2,	0.9	0.019
	18-24 years	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		
	Social Support								
	Low	1.1	0.8,	1.5	0.493	1.1	0.8,	1.5	0.493
	Medium	2	1.1,	3.5	0.021	2	1.1,	3.5	0.021
	High	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		
	Stress (range 10-50)	1	1,	1	0.843	1	1,	1	0.843
	Major Depression (MDE)								
	Yes	4	1.9,	8.7	< 0.001	4	1.9,	8.7	< 0.001
	No	1	(Reference)			1	(Reference)		



ernerang eessaa	on Iriai (n-	270)	
Characteristics	%	Characteristics	%
Age		Education	
18-29	7.89	≤ High School	72.94
30-39	21.05	> High School	27.06
≥ 40	71.05		
Gender		Nicotine Dependence	
Male	38.11	Low	5.75
Female	61.85	Medium	39.08
Race		High	55.17
White	27.66		
African American	60.41		

Main Findings from	Qualitative Research	(n= 30)
--------------------	----------------------	---------

- Partnership suggestions to increase the effectiveness of services
 - ✓ Case-specific individual counseling
 - Group interventions such as "Smoke Busters or Fresh Start" program
 - Community-based outreach and follow up
 - An enhanced monetary contingency management
 - Use of Complementary Alternative Medicine such as auricular acupuncture

Main Findings from the Qualitative Research (n= 30)

Challenges

- ✓ Maintaining an equitable Partnership
- ✓ Fostering trust and a shared vision
- Addressing dual addictions and co-morbidities
- Transportation
- ✓ Addressing underlying health and family crisis
- Active follow-up and reminders of the next visit



Discussion

- Consistent with previous studies indicating high prevalence of smoking among socially disadvantaged and ethnic groups (Stillman et al., 2007; CDC, 2004).
- Females and African Americans had lower odds of being current smokers relative to never smokers.
- In turn, gender and race were <u>not</u> associated with being a former smoker as compared to current smokers.

Discussion

- Race/ethnicity, gender, marital status, educational attainment, age, and depression were associated with being a current smoker compared to those who never smoked
 - Due to more negative attitudes toward smoking among females and African American communities, possible greater psychological and practical support from those with a non-smoking partner, and greater health literacy among those with higher education, respectively.

Discussion

- Perceived social support, stress and major depression were not significantly associated with being a former smoker
- However, being married and more educatedindicators of partner's support and awareness- were associated with higher odds of being a former smoker in the context of a low-SES urban setting.

Comments

- Differences between non-smokers and current smokers
 Some of the socio-demographic characteristics, as well as the presence of major depression.
- Age, education, and being married were associated with higher odds of being a former smoker
 May help explain the role of support and awareness in the participants' ability to become former smokers.
- Treatment plans may become more effective among low SES and inner-city residents
- If they include more supportive interventions and are more culturally sensitive.

Comments

- Integrating ALAR principles with rigorous scientific methodology presents unique strengths and challenges with potential to create scientific knowledge and translate it into meaningful practices.
- Use of mix methods research and data triangulation in partnership with local stakeholders provide better opportunities for translating knowledge and transforming the community

Next steps

- > Developing a counter-marketing strategy against tobacco industry's targeted marketing approach
- > Developing culturally sensitive information materials
- Designing a local smoking surveillance information system
- > Sustaining community health education activities

Acknowledgement

- The authors would like to thank all the CAB, faculty, staff, students, and participants who made the CEASE Project possible.
- National Institute on Drug Abuse, grants DA012390, DA019805; National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities, grants MD000217 and MD002803

References

- Stillman FA, Hartman AM, Graubard BI, Gilpin EA, Murray DM, Gibson JT. Evaluation of the American Stop Smoking Intervention Study (ASSIST): a report of outcomes. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1681-1691.
 Stillman FA, Bone L, vila-Tang E et al. Barriers to smoking cessation in inner-city African American young adults. Am J Public Health 2007;97:1405-1408.
 Cohen S, Williamson G. Percieved Stress in Probability Sample of United States. In: Spacapan S, Oskamp S, eds. The Social Psychology of Health. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1988.
 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001; 16:606-613.
 Peradhead WG. Geblineb Ed. do Group VK Apalan BH. The Duke LINC Experiencel Social

- Broadhead WE, Gehlbach SH, de Gruy FV, Kaplan BH. The Duke-UNC Functional Social Support Questionnaire. Measurement of social support in family medicine patients. *Med Care* 1988;26:709-723.
- US Department of Commerce CB. National Cancer Institute and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Co-Sponsored Tobacco Use Supplement to the Current Population Survey (2001-2002). National Cancer Institute and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [serial online] 2004;Accessed April 7, 8 A.D.